Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
OPTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	

YINYIN MAR MA,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-05196-JST

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO VACATE DISMISSAL AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Re: ECF No. 15

The court dismissed this action for the denial of Social Security benefits on May 27, 2014, after Plaintiff Ma failed to file a summary judgment motion by the deadline set by the procedural order entered in the case, and failed to file a response to the court's order to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. ECF No. 14.

Ma now moves under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60 to vacate the court's order of dismissal on the ground that Ma's failure to prosecute the action was caused by her counsel's unfamiliarity with the court's electronic filing system. ECF No. 15. The motion is unopposed.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) provides four grounds for relieving a party from a final judgment, order, or proceeding: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b); (3) fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party; (4) the judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged; it is based on an earlier judgment that has been reversed or vacated; or applying it prospectively is no longer equitable; or (6) any other reason that justifies relief.

In light of Ma's counsel contention that Ma's failure to comply with the court's orders was based on inadvertence, and because Defendant has not opposed the motion to vacate the order of

Case 3:13-cv-05196-JST Document 16 Filed 06/20/14 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court Northern District of California dismissal, Ma's motion to vacate the order of dismissal is GRANTED.

Ma may file a motion for summary judgment no later than July 25, 2014. Defendant may file a response or a counter-motion no later than 28 days of the filing date of Ma's motion. Ma may file a reply within 14 days of the date on which Defendant serves the opposition or countermotion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 20, 2014

JON S. TIGAR United States District Judge